Limited Feedback Spectrum Sharing for Cognitive MIMO Radio

Wei Zhong Shanghai Jiao Tong University

August 23, 2010

Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends



Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends



• High data rate communication is demanded











- **Spectrum Scarcity**
 - Most of the frequency spectrum resource has been allocated. [U.S. FA Chart]



700MHz





Spectrum Inefficient Utilization

 Spectrum utilization depends strongly on time and place. [NSF-0335272]

Measured Spectrum Occupancy Averaged over Six Locations



Frequency (Hz)



• Optimal transmission strategy is usually difficult to be obtained and impractical





• Convergence of the heterogeneous networks

Multiple type networks coexist





White paper, FuTure Forum

- Improve the spectrum efficiency
- Dynamic spectrum sharing
- Limited feedback communication
- Distributed optimization

Distributed Limited feedback cognitive radio networks



Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends



Cognitive MIMO Radio

- MIMO
 - Advantage:
 - 1. Provide dramatic capacity gain
 - 2. Increase spectrum efficiency
 - Disadvantage:

Costly in terms of size, power and hardware. Complex in signal processing.



Cognitive MIMO Radio





Cognitive MIMO Radio

- MIMO has been adopted in many wireless standards.
- Users and base stations may equipped with multiple antennas in future.

We consider cognitive MIMO radio



Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends





Cognitive MIMO MAC system model





Cognitive MIMO MAC system model



Cognitive MIMO INT system model





Cognitive MIMO INT system model





Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends



Why game theory?

Game Theoretic approach

- Distributed
- Self-organized
- Discrete optimization
 - convex optimization theory cannot be used
- Feasible some strategy profiles cannot satisfy the constraints
- Scalable network is dynamic

The study of <u>mathematical</u> <u>models</u> of <u>conflict and</u> <u>cooperation</u> between <u>intelligent</u> <u>rational decision-makers</u>



Cognitive MIMO MAC





Game formulation

PlayersRational secondary users currently in the systemActionsMultimode precoding strategies

Indication function

Payoff function:

$$u_{i} = R_{i} + \frac{\beta^{P} \Theta\left(\rho^{th} - B\right) + \beta^{M} \Theta\left(M_{r} - M_{total}\right)}{\text{where}}$$
where
$$R_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \log_{2} \left| \frac{\rho_{i}}{L_{i}} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{N}_{i} \right| - \frac{1}{2} \log_{2} \left| \mathbf{N}_{i} \right|$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\rho_{j}}{L_{j}} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}$$



Game formulation

 $\Theta(s)$ is defined as $\Theta(s) = s$ for $\tilde{s} < 0$ and $\Theta(s) = 0$ otherwise, β^P and β^M are non-negative scalars, the second term in (6) is an indication of the interference temperature constraint, the third term in (6) is an indication of the maximum transmission number constraint.

$$\max_{\mathbf{F}_i \in \mathcal{F}_i} u_i \left(\mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{F}_{-i} \right) \text{ for all } i \in \mathcal{N}$$





Fig. 2. The average valid mutual information of different secondary users when SNR=10dB (1000 channel realizations) under Co.1 and Co.2.



Cognitive MIMO INT

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{H}_{ji} \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{z}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{ji} \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{z}_i$$

Energy efficiency of secondary user *i*



• Two assumption:

- A.1: If the Co.1 is not taken into account, $\beta^B = 0$. Otherwise, β^B is chosen to be sufficiently large to ensure that $u_i < 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$ when $B > p^{th}$.
- A.2: If the Co.2 is not taken into account, $\beta^R = 0$. Otherwise, β^R is assumed to be sufficiently large to guarantee that $u_i < 0$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}$ when $R_i > R_i^{th}$.

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}_i} u_i(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_{-i}), \quad for \ all \ i \in \mathcal{N}. \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad \max_{\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}_i} \Gamma_i, \quad for \ all \ i \in \mathcal{N}$$
$$s.t. \ R_i \ge R_i^{th}$$
$$equivalent \qquad B \le p^{th}.$$





Four users without constraints

Three users with constraints,



Outline

- Background and Motivation
- Cognitive MIMO Radio
- Spectrum Sharing Model
- Game-theoretic Formulation
- Summary and Trends



Summary and Trends

• Limited feedback spectrum sharing techniques can reach near optimal or required performance with relative low complexity, and is hence more practical.

 Game theoretic spectrum sharing algorithm can improve the performance compared to random selection algorithm and always find the feasible strategies with well designed payoff function.



Summary and Trends

- Multi-dimension/jointly radio resource management (channel allocation, power, bandwidth...)
- Cross-layer design
- Take economic factors into account (price, revenue...)



Limited Feedback Spectrum Sharing for Cognitive MIMO Radio UC4G August 23, 2010 BUPT

Thank you!

Wei Zhong Institute of Wireless Communication Technology School of Electronic, Information and Electrical Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University 800 Dongchuan Road, Min Hang, Shanghai, 200240, P.R. China Email: <u>zhongwei ch@hotmail.com</u> or <u>zhongwei@sjtu.edu.cn</u>